



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,896	10/15/2003	Alan R. Arthur	200311582-1	7536
22879	7590	04/06/2006	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			CHUO, TONY SHENG HSIANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1746	

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/686,896

Applicant(s)

ARTHUR ET AL.

Examiner

Tony Chuo

Art Unit

1746

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-65 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 39-60 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-38 and 61-65 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 29 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/15/03, 3/13/06
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Election/Restrictions*

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-38 and 61-65, drawn to a fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 32.
  - II. Claims 39-60, drawn to method of forming a fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 13.
2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-38 and 61-65 in the reply filed on 3/23/06 is acknowledged. Claims 39-60 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group II, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 3/23/06.

### *Claim Objections*

3. Claim 29 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 25. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 30 recites the limitation "said cathode air plenum" in fuel cell system.

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

6. Claims 8, 25-29, and 37-38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The phrase "positive conductor coupled to cathode air inlet and negative conductor coupled to cathode air outlet" is unclear because "coupled to" could mean that the positive conductor is electrically connected to cathode air inlet and the negative conductor is electrically connected to the cathode air outlet. It is well known in the art that the positive and negative conductors are electrically connected to the positive and negative terminals and not the cathode air inlet and cathode air outlet of the fuel cell system.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1-3, 11, 12, 16, 33, 34, 36, and 61-64 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(a) (e) as being anticipated by Haluzak (US 2003/0022051). The Haluzak reference teaches a fuel cell system comprising fuel cell layers that each comprise a

Art Unit: 1746

substrate "62"; an array of fuel cells "60" each having an anode, cathode, and electrolyte; conductors "78" electrically coupled to fuel cell array that are located on the cathode side of substrate; fuel flow channels "52" in anode side of substrate; cathode air flow channels "54" in cathode side of substrate; fuel inlet "70" and fuel outlet "72" in substrate where the fuel inlet and outlet are in fluid communication with fuel flow channels (See Figures 3-5). It also teaches an electrolyte "42" that seals the non-active portion of the substrate "62" (See Figures 4-6). It also teaches fuel cell stacks that are alternatingly stacked (See paragraph [0040]). It also teaches inlets, outlets, and perimeter of array that are sealed with epoxy (See paragraph [0037]). It also teaches fuel cell layers that are coupled to form a parallel electrical circuit (See paragraph [0042]). Although the cathode air inlet and outlet are not shown in the figures, it is inherent that the air inlet and air outlet are fluidly coupled to each cathode air flow channel. It also teaches a means for removing electricity from the array of fuel cells by connecting the electrodes to known structures to allow electrons to flow from the anode to the cathode (See paragraph [0023]).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1746

10. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haluzak (US 2003/0022051) in view of Wilkinson et al (US 5773160). The Haluzak reference is applied to claims 1-3, 11, 12, 16, 33, 34, 36, and 61-64 for reasons stated above. However, the reference does not expressly teach a cathode air inlet and air outlet in communication with the air flow channel in the substrate, fuel inlet and outlet in the first and second opposing corner portions and air inlet and outlet in third and fourth opposing corner portions of the substrate, and a substantially rectilinear substrate. The Wilkinson reference teaches a flow field plate that could also be a substrate for fuel cells that is rectilinear and comprises a fuel inlet "244" and fuel outlet "246" in the first and second opposing corner portions and an air inlet "240" and air outlet "242" in third and fourth opposing corner portions of the substrate (See Figure 6A). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Haluzak fuel cell to include cathode air inlets and outlets in the substrate with the fuel inlet and outlet in the first and second opposing corner portions and air inlet and outlet in third and fourth opposing corner portions of the substrate so that the overall size of the fuel cell can be reduced by centrally locating the inlets and outlets on the same substrate.

11. Claims 9, 10, 30-32, and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haluzak (US 2003/0022051) in view of Takayanagi (JP 08-213043). The Haluzak reference is applied to claims 1-3, 11, 12, 16, 33, 34, 36, and 61-64 for reasons stated above. However, the reference does not expressly teach fuel flow channels along a first axis and cathode air flow channels along a second axis disposed

Art Unit: 1746

at an angle that is substantially normal to the first axis. The Takayanagi reference teaches a layered fuel cell with fuel flow channels "45" that are perpendicular to the cathode air flow channels "44" (See Drawing 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Haluzak fuel cell to include fuel flow channels that are perpendicular to the cathode air flow channels so that the fuel gas and air gas can be more efficiently delivered to the fuel cells.

12. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haluzak (US 2003/0022051) in view of Voss et al (US 6832647). The Haluzak reference is applied to claims 1-3, 11, 12, 16, 33, 34, 36, and 61-64 for reasons stated above. However, the reference does not expressly teach flow modification features that comprise baffles that are associated with the fuel flow channels and cathode air flow channels. The Voss reference teaches a baffle "19" associated with a flow channel (See Figure 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Haluzak fuel cell to include baffles in the flow channels so that the fuel and air gases can be distributed more evenly throughout the fuel cell.

13. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haluzak (US 2003/0022051). The Haluzak reference is applied to claims 1-3, 11, 12, 16, 33, 34, 36, and 61-64 for reasons stated above. However, the reference does not expressly teach conductors located on the anode side of the substrate that serve as the circuit side of the fuel cell layer. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 1746

in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Haluzak fuel cell to include conductors on the anode side because the rearrangement of parts was held to have been obvious (In re Japikse 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA1950)).

14. Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haluzak (US 2003/0022051) in view of Takayanagi (JP 08-213043). The Haluzak reference is applied to claims 1-3, 11, 12, 16, 33, 34, 36, and 61-64 for reasons stated above. In addition, the Haluzak reference teaches a fuel cell layer that shares a fuel flow channel with a first adjacent fuel cell layer and a fuel cell layer that shares a cathode air flow channel with a second adjacent fuel cell layer (See Figure 5).

However, the reference does not expressly teach flow channels that form flow plenums or fuel inlet and outlet that form plenums. The Takayanagi reference does teaches flow channels that form fuel inlet, fuel outlet, air inlet, and air outlet manifolds (See Figure 3). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Haluzak fuel cell to include fuel inlet and outlet manifolds that are formed with the fuel flow channels and air inlet and outlet manifolds that are formed with the air flow channels so that fuel gas and air gas can be more efficiently delivered to the fuel cells.

15. Claim 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haluzak (US 2003/0022051) in view of Takayanagi (JP 08-213043) as applied to claims 16-21 and further in view of Wilkinson et al (US 5773160). However, the references do not expressly teach cathode air inlets and cathode air outlets in the substrate. The Wilkinson reference does teach a cathode air inlet and a cathode air outlet in the same

Art Unit: 1746

substrate (See Figure 6A). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Haluzak fuel cell to include the cathode air inlet and outlet in the same substrate with the fuel inlet and outlet so that the overall size of the fuel cell can be reduced by centrally locating the inlets and outlets on the same substrate.

16. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haluzak (US 2003/0022051) in view of Mook et al (US 2003/0235745). The Haluzak reference is applied to claims 1-3, 11, 12, 16, 33, 34, 36, and 61-64 for reasons stated above. However, the reference does not expressly teach seals that comprise an electrically conductive material. The Mook reference does teach a seal that is electrically conductive (See Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Haluzak fuel cell to include seals that are electrically conductive in order to allow the flow of electric current between the anode and the cell manifold.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

17. Claims 8, 25-29, and 37-38 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Chuo whose telephone number is (571) 272-0717. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

u 4/3/06

  
**MICHAEL BARR**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**